IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.22693 of 2008
MD. KAMAL SON OF LATE GODU
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- MALHARIA,
P.S. MAHALGAON, DISTRICT- ARARIA
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

6 **17.10.2008** Heard learned counsels for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner is an accused for the offence under section 498A of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is not the husband of the complainant and in support of the same he has filed a supplementary affidavit annexing a copy of the voter list.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Learned counsel appearing for the opposite party no.2 has filed a counter affidavit asserting therein to the effect that the petitioner is the husband of the complainant and on account of the said wed lock she is also having a child.

Considering the submissions of the parties raising a dispute with regard to marriage as also in the light of the allegations made in the complaint, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to this petitioner. His prayer for grant of anticipatory bail is, accordingly, rejected.

Manish/- **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha,J.)**